CANADA

S U P E R I O R C O U R T (class action)

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

No: 500-06-000076-980

CONSEIL QUÉBECOIS SUR LE TABAC ET LA SANTÉ and JEAN-YVES BLAIS

Plaintiffs

v.

JTI-MACDONALD CORP.
IMPERIAL TOBACCO CANADA LIMITEE
ROTHMANS, BENSON & HEDGES INC.

Defendants

FONDS D'AIDE AUX ACTIONS COLLECTIVES

Mise en cause

CECILIA LÉTOURNEAU

Plaintiff

No: 500-06-000070-983

v.

JTI-MACDONALD CORP. IMPERIAL TOBACCO CANADA LIMITEE ROTHMANS, BENSON & HEDGES INC.

Defendants

FONDS D'AIDE AUX ACTIONS COLLECTIVES

Mise en cause

MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS BETWEEN THE CLASS ACTION PLAINTIFFS AND CERTAIN INSURERS IN LIQUIDATION

(arts. 590, 595 and 597 C.C.Q.)

TO THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE BRIAN RIORDAN, OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, DISTRICT OF MONTREAL, THE PLAINTIFFS RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT:

- 1. By way of the present Motion, Conseil Québécois sur le Tabac et la Santé, Jean-Yves Blais and Cécilia Létourneau (the "Class Action Plaintiffs") request this Honourable Court's approval of the two settlement agreements (collectively, the "Settlement Agreements") that they have entered into with Kansa General International Insurance Company Ltd., in liquidation, formerly Kansa General Insurance Company ("Kansa") and Northumberland General Insurance Company, in liquidation ("Northumberland").
- 2. For the reasons explained more fully herein, the Class Action Plaintiffs submit that the Settlement Agreements are in the best interests of the classes that they represent (the "Blais Class" and the "Létourneau Class" respectively), and consequently, should be approved by this Honourable Court.

A. THE KANSA SETTLEMENT

3. The settlement agreement entered into between the Class Action Plaintiffs, Kansa and its Liquidator on July 4, 2017 (the "Kansa Settlement"), if approved by this Honourable Court, will settle any potential rights that the Class Action Plaintiffs may have pursuant to the following policies of insurance issued by Kansa to Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited and/or its predecessor entities ("ITL") between April 1, 1983 and April 1, 1986:

Policy	Policy Periods	Amount of coverage
Number		
2500906	April 1, 1983-April 1, 1984	\$10,000,000
2501920	April 1, 1984-April 1, 1985	\$5,000,000*
2502857	April 1, 1985-April 1, 1986	\$5,000,000

^{*} Note that this policy was originally issued for \$10,000,000 of coverage, however was reduced by endorsement to \$5,000,000 on August 1, 1984.

(the "Kansa ITL Policies")

The Kansa Settlement will also settle any potential rights that the Class Action Plaintiffs may have pursuant to the following policies of insurance issued by Kansa to Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and/or related entities ("**RBH**") between June 30, 1979 and March 31, 1990:

Policy	Policy Periods	Amount of coverage
Number		
560053	June 30, 1979-June 30, 1980	\$5,000,000
	June 30, 1980-June 30, 1981	
100750	June 30, 1981-June 30, 1982	\$1,000,000
300437	June 30, 1981-June 30, 1982	\$9,000,000
2000280	June 30, 1982-March 31, 1983	\$5,000,000
	March 31, 1983-March 31, 1984	
	March 31, 1984-March 31, 1985	
	March 31, 1985-March 31, 1986	
2002862	March 31, 1987-March 31, 1988	\$4,000,000
2002922	March 31, 1988-March 31, 1989	\$3,000,000*
	March 31, 1989-March 31, 1990	

^{*}Note that this policy was increased to \$5,000,000 for the 1989-1990 policy period.

(the "Kansa RBH Policies")

- 4. Kansa was declared bankrupt by Division 5 of the Helsinki District Court in Helsinki, Finland on December 30, 1994 and has been in liquidation in Montreal, Quebec pursuant to the *Winding-up and Restructuring Act* (the "WURA") in court file number 500-05-002760-955 (the "Kansa Liquidation Proceedings") since March 8, 1995. The Honourable Justice Jean-Yves Lalonde is presently supervising the Kansa Liquidation Proceedings.
- 5. Having only become aware of the existence of the Kansa Liquidation Proceedings, on December 22, 2016, the Class Action Plaintiffs asserted claims in those proceedings, as injured third persons, under the Kansa ITL Policies and the Kansa RBH Policies (collectively, the "Kansa Policies") on the basis of the condemnation against the insureds ITL and RBH in the trial judgment rendered by the present Court on May 27, 2015 (corrected on June 9, 2015) (the "Trial Judgment").
- 6. Although the Trial Judgment was not yet final at the time, the Class Action Plaintiffs sought to preserve any rights that they may have pursuant to the Kansa Policies, after being advised that the Kansa Liquidation Proceedings were nearing completion, and in fact, that there was only one outstanding claim at the time preventing the liquidation from closing and the estate from being distributed.
- 7. Kansa's liquidator (the "Kansa Liquidator) formally objected to the claims of the Class Action Plaintiffs on numerous grounds and denied that there was any coverage available under the Kansa Policies.

- 8. At the time that they asserted their claims, the Class Action Plaintiffs ascertained for the first time that ITL and RBH had previously settled with, and provided releases to, Kansa and the Kansa Liquidator of any and all claims that these insureds had under the Kansa Policies.
- 9. In view of (i) the prior settlement by ITL and RBH of any interest that they may have had as insureds under the Kansa Policies, (ii) the significant costs and time that would have been required to litigate the contested claims of the Class Action Plaintiffs against the Kansa Liquidator, and (iii) the fact that Kansa was insolvent and in the final stages of its liquidation, the Class Action Plaintiffs, through their counsel, entered into serious negotiations with the Kansa Liquidator in order to see if there was a possibility of settling the matter.
- 10. As a result of such negotiations, on July 4, 2017, Kansa, the Kansa Liquidator and the Class Action Plaintiffs entered into a settlement agreement (the "Kansa Settlement"), which is produced under seal herewith as Exhibit P-1.
- 11. As appears from the Kansa Settlement:
 - (i) the Kansa Liquidator denies that there is any coverage available under the Kansa Policies;
 - (ii) ITL and RBH previously settled in 2015 (after the Trial Judgment was rendered) all of their claims under the same Kansa Policies for a consideration that, in the aggregate, was significantly less than the amount of the present settlement payable to the Class Action Plaintiffs; and
 - (iii) the settlement amount was payable to the Class Action Plaintiffs regardless of the outcome of any appeal of the Trial Judgment.
- 12. The Kansa Settlement was subject to court approval by the court in the Kansa Liquidation Proceedings (the "Winding-Up Court"), which was obtained on July 18, 2017, as appears from the judgment rendered by the Honourable Justice Jean-Yves Lalonde, produced herewith as Exhibit P-2.
- 13. The Kansa Settlement is also subject to court approval by the present Court, which is being requested herein.
- 14. If Court approval is obtained, the Kansa Liquidator will then seek the required authorization from the Winding-Up Court to pay the settlement amount, and the

payment will be made to counsel for the Class Action Plaintiffs, in trust, within 30 days of such judgment.

B. THE NORTHUMBERLAND SETTLEMENT

15. The settlement agreement entered into between the Class Action Plaintiffs and Northumberland, through its Liquidator PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc. (the "Northumberland Liquidator"), on February 16, 2017 relates to the following policies of insurance issued by Northumberland to ITL between April 1, 1981 and April 1, 1983:

Policy Number	Policy Periods	Amount of coverage
ITV-10451	April 1, 1981-April 1, 1982	\$1,000,000
IVX 2174	April 1, 1981-April 1, 1982	\$10,000,000
	April 1, 1982-April 1, 1983	

(the "Northumberland Policies")

- 16. Northumberland was placed in liquidation in Ontario in 1985.
- 17. ITL put Northumberland and PwC on notice with respect to the potential claims it may assert as an insured party under the Northumberland Policies.
- 18. The Class Action Plaintiffs advised PwC that, as injured third persons, they would have rights under the Northumberland Policies resulting from the Trial Judgment.
- 19. The Northumberland Liquidator has denied that there is any liability pursuant to the Northumberland Policies and that there are valid coverage defenses thereunder.
- 20. However, given that Northumberland was nearing the end of its very long liquidation process, the Northumberland Liquidator sought to settle the potential claims with both ITL and the Class Action Plaintiffs separately to avoid delay and the possibility of further litigation.
- 21. As a result of these negotiations, the Northumberland Liquidator entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Class Action Plaintiffs, a copy of which is communicated herewith under seal as **Exhibit P-3** (the "Northumberland Settlement").

- 22. As appears from the Northumberland Settlement:
 - (i) the Northumberland Liquidator denies that there is any coverage available under the Northumberland Policies;
 - (ii) at the same time as it entered into the Northumberland Settlement with the Class Action Plaintiffs, the Northumberland Liquidator also settled ITL's claims pursuant to the same Northumberland Policies;
 - (iii) the amount for which the Northumberland Liquidator has settled with ITL is not greater than the amount for which it has settled with the Class Action Plaintiffs; and
 - (iv) the settlement amount was payable to the Class Action Plaintiffs regardless of the outcome of the appeals of the Trial Judgment;
- 23. The Northumberland Settlement is subject to obtaining court approval from this Honourable Court.
- 24. The payment of the settlement amount pursuant to the Northumberland Settlement has already been transferred in trust to the attorneys representing the Northumberland Liquidator, and shall be transferred in trust to the attorneys representing the Class Action Plaintiffs within 5 days of the receipt of a judgment approving the Northumberland Settlement, as is being requested herein.

C. THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS ARE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CLASS MEMBERS

- 25. Class Action Plaintiffs request that the Settlement Agreements remain under seal and that the settlement amounts payable thereunder not be disclosed in these Court proceedings in order to preserve their ability to negotiate most effectively with other insurers of ITL and RBH, the vast majority of which insurers are neither insolvent nor in liquidation.
- 26. As described above, the Kansa Liquidator and the Northumberland Liquidator have each specifically denied that there is any coverage under the Kansa Policies and the Northumberland Policies respectively.
- 27. While the Class Action Plaintiffs do not acknowledge that there is an absence of coverage, failing a settlement, they recognized that a significant amount of money

- and time would have needed to be devoted by them to pursue litigation that would have been vigorously contested by these insurers, both of which are in liquidation.
- 28. Further, given the timing of the negotiations between the Class Action Plaintiffs and these insurers, and the fact that both liquidations were nearing completion, significant amounts of money and time would have needed to be spent by the Class Action Plaintiffs in respect of these claims even before they had the benefit of a final judgment in their favour.
- 29. While the Court of Appeal has since substantially maintained the Trial Judgment on March 1, 2019 (the "Appeal Judgment"), ITL and RBH have both indicated that they are considering further requesting leave to appeal the Appeal Judgment to the Supreme Court of Canada.
- 30. The approval of the Settlement Agreements is in the best interests of the class members because it will enable immediate payment by the Liquidators of the respective insurers of the settlement amounts, which are not contingent upon the ultimate success of the present litigation, and which can be used to maximize the take-up rate in the present files and reimburse the *Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives*.
- 31. It is obvious that the benefits of immediate payment for the Class Action Plaintiffs outweigh the substantial risk of pursuing litigation against the involved insurers, especially in the context of their insolvency.
- 32. The settlement amounts thus represent a significant recovery in the circumstances.
- 33. In view of the fact that ITL and RBH filed on March 12 and March 22, 2019 respectively for protection under the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "CCAA Court") and obtained a stay of proceedings, including in respect of the Class Actions, the Class Action Plaintiffs sought from the CCAA Court, on a *de bene esse* basis, a partial lift of the stay of proceedings, to the extent that such a lift was required for settlements only involving third parties, to allow them to seek this Court's approval of the Settlement Agreements.
- 34. On April 5, 2019, Mr. Justice McEwen of the CCAA Court issued Orders, on an uncontested basis, partially lifting the stay of proceedings in order to allow Class Action Plaintiffs to seek this Court's approval of the Settlement Agreements, which is required as a condition thereof, the whole as appears from the Orders issued by

the CCAA Court on April 5, 2019 and communicated herewith, *en liasse*, as **Exhibit P-4**.

D. PROPOSED REPARATION

- 35. The total number of class members is estimated at 100,000 in the Blais action and 1 million members in the Letourneau action such that awards to members resulting directly from the Settlement Agreements would not be significant on an individual basis and given this Court's previous conclusion that distributing such an amount to each member would be too expensive to administer, Class Action Plaintiffs submit that another form of reparation should be ordered.
- 36. If approved, the amounts payable under the settlements could notably allow the funding of an extensive campaign to maximize the number of members who will be indemnified at the end of the claims process. In order to achieve the best take-up rate possible, significant resources will need to be deployed. Class Action Plaintiffs suggest that the amount of up to \$500,000 be allocated to the maximization of claims.
- 37. The Class Action Plaintiffs suggest that the balance of the amounts payable under the settlements be paid to the *Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives* which has carried part of the financial burden of the Class Actions over the many years since the inception of the file. This payment would constitute an advance on the reimbursement of the financial aid provided to Class Action Plaintiffs and owed by the Class Counsel on amounts received as fees, legal costs or expenses.
- 38. Parties to the Settlement Agreements are acting in good faith and acknowledge that the said agreements involve mutual tradeoffs. Counsel for the Class Action Plaintiffs, who have great experience in class action litigation, believe that these agreements are in the best interests of members, especially those not yet identified, as it will give the means to Class Counsel to reach them.
- 39. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that this Honourable Court should approve the Settlement Agreements and that the Class Action Plaintiffs be authorized to use the proceeds thereof as described above.

E. NOTICES TO CLASS MEMBERS

- 40. Pursuant to article 590 C.C.P., notices have to be given to class members before approval of a transaction. Class Action Plaintiffs submit the proposed Notice to class members communicated herewith as **Annex 1**.
- 41. The proposed Notice to class members specifies the nature of the transaction and the method of execution, as well as the date and time of the hearing where class members may assert their contentions before the court regarding the proposed transaction.
- 42. Class Action Plaintiffs suggest that the Notice to class members be sent electronically to all persons registered on the Class Counsel database who have submitted an email address.

WHEREFORE MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT TO:

GRANT the present Motion;

ORDER that the Settlement Agreements remain under seal and that the settlement amounts payable thereunder not be disclosed;

ORDER the electronic notification of the attached Notice to all persons registered on the Class Counsel database who have submitted an email address;

APPROVE the settlement agreement dated July 4, 2017 (Exhibit P-1 under seal) entered into between Kansa General International Insurance Company Ltd., Ferdinand Alfieri, in his capacity as liquidator of Kansa General International Insurance Company Ltd., Jean-Yves Blais (now deceased) and Conseil Québécois sur le Tabac et la Santé, in their capacity as class representatives in Court File number 500-06-000076-980 and Cécilia Létourneau, in her capacity as class representative in Court File number 500-06-000070-983;

APPROVE the settlement agreement dated February 16, 2017 (Exhibit P-3 under seal) entered into between Northumberland General Insurance Company, through its liquidator PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc., Jean-Yves Blais (now deceased) and Conseil Québécois sur le Tabac et la Santé in their capacity as class representatives in Court File number 500-6-000076-980 and Cécilia Létourneau, in her capacity as class representative in Court File number 500-06-000070-983; and

AUTHORIZE Class Counsel to withdraw from the Court record Exhibits P-1 and P-3;

ORDER that the proceeds of the Settlement Agreements be paid to Fishman Flanz Meland Paquin LLP in trust ("FFMP"), as contemplated in the Settlement Agreements, and forthwith thereafter transferred by FFMP to the Trust account of the law firm Trudel Johnston & Lespérance;

AUTHORIZE Class Counsel to use \$500,000 of the proceeds of the Settlement Agreements to maximize the take-up rate in the class actions.

AUTHORIZE Class Counsel to use the balance of the proceeds to reimburse the *Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives* for the financial aid provided in the class action proceedings.

ORDER an accounting of the use of the proceeds of the Settlement Agreements before the Court;

GRANT provisional execution of the present judgment notwithstanding appeal;

THE WHOLE without costs.

Montreal, this 16th day of April 2019

TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE

KUGLER KANDESTIN

DeGRANDPRÉ CHAIT

Fishman Flanz Meland Vaquin FISHMAN FLANZ MELAND PAQUIN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, ANDRÉ LESPÉRANCE, Attorney, practicing law at the firm of Trudel Johnston & Lesperance, situated at 750 Côte de la Place d'Armes, Suite 90, in the City and District of Montreal, Province of Quebec, solemnly declare the following:

- 1. I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiffs;
- 2. The facts alleged in the present Motion are true and correct.

AND I HAVE SIGNED:

ANDRÉ LÉSPÉRANCE

Solemnly declared before me, at Montreal,

on this 16th day of April, 2019

Commissioner of Oaths for Quebec

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit P-1: Kansa Settlement (CONFIDENTIAL & UNDER SEAL).

Exhibit P-2: Winding-Up Court.

Exhibit P-3: Northumberland Settlement (CONFIDENTIAL & UNDER SEAL).

Exhibit P-4: Orders issued by the CCAA Court on April 5, 2019, partially lifting the

stay of proceedings in RBH and ITL files, en liasse.

Montreal, this 16th day of April 2019

TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE

KUGLER KANDESTIN

Degrander Chair

FISHMAN FLANZ MELAND PAQUIN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

TO: Me François Grondin

Me Guy Pratte
Me Patrick Plante
Me Kevin Lee LaRoche
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS

1000, De La Gauchetière Ouest

Suite 900

Montreal (Quebec) H3B 5H4

Tel: 514 879-1212 Fax: 514 954-1905

Attorneys of JTI-Macdonald Corp.

Me Deborah Glendinning Me Thomas Craig Lockwood OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT

1000, rue De La Gauchetière West

Suite 2100

Montreal (Quebec) H3B 4W5

Tel.: 514 904-8100 Fax: 514 904-8101

Attorneys of Imperial Tobacco Canada Ltd.

Me Frikia Belogbi Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives

1, Notre-Dame Street East

Suite 10.30

Montreal (Quebec) H2Y 1B6

Tel.: 514 393-2087 Fax: 514 864-2998

Attorney of Fonds d'aide aux action

collectives

Me Doug Mitchell
Me Catherine Elizabeth McKenzie
IRVING MITCHELL KALICHMAN

Place Alexis Nihon Tour 2, suite 1400

3500, boul. De Maisonneuve West Montreal (Quebec) H3Z 3C1

Tel.: 514 934-7727 (Me McKenzie)

Fax: 514 935-2999

Attorneys of JTI-Macdonald Corp.

Me Simon V. Potter Me Michael Feder McCarthy Tetrault

1000, rue De La Gauchetière West

Suite 2500

Montreal (Quebec) H3B 0A2

Tel.: 514 397-4100 Fax: 514 875-6246

Attorneys of Rothmans, Benson &

Hedges Inc.

TAKE NOTICE that the present Motion will be presented for adjudication before Justice Brian Riordan of the superior Court, sitting in and for the District of Montreal, at the Courthouse of Montreal, 1 Notre-Dame Street East, Montreal, Quebec, room, date and time to be determined.

Kindly govern yourselves accordingly.

Montreal, this 16th day of April 2019

Trudil Johnston & Cosperance
TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE
Lugher Kandestin KUGLER KANDESTIN
KUGLER KANDESTIN
De Grangré Chait De GRANDPRÉ CHAIT
FISHMAN FLANZMELAND PAQUIN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ANNEXE 1

Monsieur, Madame,

Comme vous le savez, la Cour d'appel a condamné les compagnies de tabac à payer près de 7 milliards de dollars, plus intérêts, aux victimes du tabac.

Les compagnies ont annoncé qu'elles demanderaient à la Cour suprême du Canada la permission d'en appeler de ce jugement. Aucune somme ne pourra donc être distribuée pour le moment.

Ententes avec deux assureurs en liquidation

Depuis 2015, nous négocions avec certains assureurs des compagnies de tabac pour obtenir de l'argent pour les membres. En effet, certaines compagnies de tabac possèdent des polices d'assurance responsabilité qui pourraient servir à payer une partie des dommages auxquels les compagnies de tabac ont été condamnées.

Après de longues négociations, nous avons réussi à conclure des ententes pour un montant forfaitaire avec deux de ces compagnies d'assurance.

Pour ne pas nuire à nos négociations avec les autres assureurs des compagnies de tabac, les montants de ces ententes sont confidentiels.

Ces ententes doivent être approuvées par le juge Brian Riordan qui verra le contenu de ces ententes et qui devra déterminer si elles sont justes, raisonnables et dans l'intérêt des membres de l'action collective. Nous sommes d'avis que ce sont de bonnes ententes, notamment parce que ces assureurs sont insolvables et ont nié couverture. De plus, le temps et les coûts nécessaires pour poursuivre les compagnies d'assurance en question auraient été significatifs malgré un résultat incertain.

La somme des ententes est largement insuffisante pour permettre une distribution aux milliers de membres. Nous demanderons donc au juge de nous permettre d'utiliser cette somme pour financer une campagne pour rejoindre plus de membres et pour rembourser une portion de l'aide financière accordée par le Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives.

Nous demanderons au juge Riordan d'approuver ces ententes le 2019.

Vous pouvez consulter la demande d'approbation des ententes sur notre site : http://tjl.quebec/recours-collectifs/maladies-liees-au-tabagisme/.

Opposition

Si vous êtes membre du groupe, vous avez le droit de vous opposer à l'approbation de ces ententes. Pour ce faire, écrivez-nous vos motifs de contestation en répondant au présent courriel au plus tard le

Nous remettrons toute contestation reçue au juge Riordan. De plus, si vous le désirez, vous

pourrez expliquer vos motifs d'opposition au juge Riordan lors de l'audition du _____ 2019.

N'hésitez pas à communiquer avec nous si vous désirez plus d'informations.

Trudel Johnston & Lespérance T. 438 384-7230 ou 1-888-880-1844

C. tabac@tjl.quebec

Madam, Sir,

As you know, the Court of Appeal condemned the tobacco companies to pay \$7 billion, plus interest, to victims of tobacco.

The companies announced that they intend to apply to the Supreme Court of Canada for leave to appeal this judgment. Therefore, it will not be possible to distribute any amount to members for the moment.

Agreements with two Insurers in liquidation

Since 2015, we have been negotiating with certain insurers of tobacco companies to obtain money for the members. Indeed, certain tobacco companies have liability insurance policies, some of which could be used to pay part of the amount of damages to which the companies were condemned.

After lengthy negotiations, we have succeeded in obtaining lump sum payments with two of those insurance companies, so far.

The amounts covered by these agreements will remain confidential so as not to interfere with any further negotiations with other insurers.

These agreements must be approved by Justice Brian Riordan who will see the content of the agreements and who will have to determine whether they are fair, reasonable and in your interest. We believe that they are good agreements, particularly given that those insurers are insolvent and have denied coverage under the policies. Also, the length of time and cost to litigate these insurance claims would have been significant with substantial uncertainty as to recovery.

The total sum of the settlement is largely insufficient to warrant a distribution to the thousands of class action members. We will therefore ask the judge to allow us to use these amounts to fund a campaign to reach more members and to reimburse the *Fonds d'aide aux actions collectives* for the financial aid provided.

	to the to be only the
We will ask Justice Riordan to approve those agreements on	2010
We will ask sustice Kioldali to approve those agreements on	2017

The application to approve the settlements can be consulted at http://tjl.quebec/en/class-action/tobacco-related-diseases-2/.

Objection

If you are a member of the group, you have the right to object to the approval of these settlement agreements. To do so, send us an email with the reasons for your objection by 2019.

We will file all written objections with the Superior Court. You may also explain your reasons for objecting to Riordan j. at the hearing on the control of the court of the c

Do not hesitate to contact us if you need more information.



Trudel Johnston & Lespérance

T. 438 384-7230 ou 1-888-880-1844

C. tabac@tjl.quebec